Molecular Imaging of Prostate Cancer for Diagnosis and Therapy

> Peter Choyke, MD Molecular Imaging Program National Cancer Institute



NCAB December 7, 2010



### What Modalities Will Guide Future Therapies?



Ultrasound Microbubble Color doppler MRI DCE-MRI MR Spectroscopy Iron Oxides DWI Radionuclide Prostascint PSMA antibodies Aptamers

#### PET 11C Choline

11C Acetate 18F Choline 18F ACBC 18F AR analog













Molecular Imaging Program

## **Multi-parametric Prostate MRI**

- 510 patients/3 years
- 10 patients/week



#### T2W, ADC, MRSI, DCE



Endorectal coil



16-channel cardiac coil





Baris Turkbey, MD Peter A. Pinto, MD Haresh Mani, MD Marcelino Bernardo, BS Yuxi Pang, PhD Yolanda L. McKinney, RN Kiranpreet Khurana Gregory C. Ravizzini, MD Paul S. Albert, PhD Maria J. Merino, MD Peter L. Choyke, MD

#### **Prostate Cancer:** Value of Multiparametric MR Imaging at 3 T for Detection—Histopathologic Correlation<sup>1</sup>

Purpose:

Materials and

Methods:

To determine utility of multiparametric imaging performed at 3 T for detection of prostate cancer by using T2-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) imaging, MR spectroscopy, and dynamic contrast material–enhanced MR imaging, with whole-mount pathologic findings as reference standard.

This prospectively designed, HIPAA-compliant, singleinstitution study was approved by the local institutional review board. Seventy consecutive patients (mean age, 60.4 years; mean prostate-specific antigen level, 5.47 ng/mL 15.47 ug/L1; range, 1–19.9 ng/mL (1–19.9 ug/L1) were in-

Lesion	Sensitivity		
characteristics	T2W	DCE MRI	MRS
≤3mm	0.40 (0.87)	0.12 (0.51)	0.07 (0.28)
> 3mm	0.61 (0.94)	0.28 (0.56)	0.21 (0.39)
Gleason score ≤ 7	0.48 (0.86)	0.20 (0.48)	0.16 (0.33)
Gleason score > 7	0.67 (0.98)	0.36 (0.74)	0.25 (0.51)



#### Turkbey B, et al. Radiology 2010











Molecular Imaging Program

## Design of Multi-parametric Analysis System







### **Improving Quantitation of T2 MRI**

#### Conventional T<sub>2</sub>-weighted Imaging

- Qualitative
  - $\checkmark$  Depends on pulse sequence and acquisition parameters.
  - ✓ Subjects to inter-scanner and intra-scanner variations.

#### T<sub>2</sub> Mapping<sup>1,2</sup>

- Quantitative
  - Generates reproducible results for longitudinal studies and inter- and intra-scanner comparisons.
  - Provides information for multi-parametric analysis (together with diffusion, DCE and MRS).
- Prior iterations=long scan durations

1 Molecular Imaging Program

1. Storas, TH et al, 2008;28:1166-1172, J Magn Reson Imag. 2. Roebuck JR et al. 2009;27:497-502, Magn Reson Imag.



2/17/2011







Molecular Imaging Program

## Fast T<sub>2</sub> Mapping Protocol



An accelerated TSE sequence

- Resolution = 1.09 mm  $\times$  1.09 mm  $\times$  3 mm
- Acquisition matrix =  $256 \times 256$
- Sixteen echoes with TE = 30, 45, 60, ..., 255 ms
- Undersampling factor = 4 with 24 calibration lines
- Scan time = 5 min 48 sec for 10 slices

Wei Liu PhD





### **Representative Images**



TE = 50 ms

TE = 80 ms

 $T_2$  map





### T<sub>2</sub> of Normal and Tumor Tissues



N = 7 for patients with tumor.

N = 11 for patients with lesions suspicious for cancer

Molecular Imaging Program

### **Quantifying Apparent Diffusion Coefficient**



Turkbey B, et al. Radiology (in press)





### **Apparent Diffusion Coefficient**



#### PSA 4.8 Gleason 3+3 5%

PSA 12.4 Neg Bxs





#### Quantitative pharmacokinetics maps from Dynamic Contrast Enhanced MRI



• Two Parameter Fit:

$$C_t(t) = k_{trans} \int_0^t C_p(u) \cdot e^{-k_{ep} \cdot (t-u)} du$$

• Three Parameter Fit:

$$C_{t}(t) = k_{trans} \int_{0}^{t} C_{p}^{\nu}(u) \cdot e^{-k_{ep} \cdot (t-u)} du + v_{p} \cdot C_{p}(t)$$

Estimation of Pharmacokinetics parameter k<sub>trans</sub> and k<sub>ep</sub> depends on accurate estimation of concentration of agent in plasma C<sub>p</sub>



#### Dynamic Contrast Enhanced MRI [Alpha version 2.0]

File ROI Save Dummy Attributes Tools Help

#### PHILIPS

#### Load Bulk As Needed

- Startup Dyn. Dyn. Time (sec) 3.0 3.10000 Temp. Smooth Spatial smooth 1350 Blood T1 (ms) 850 Tissue T1 (ms) Save Current Series to Disk: C:\DBIEX\_Data\new
- Signal Ratio (post/pre)
- C Delta R1
- Conc. Only (mM)
- C Conc. + MTC (mM)

Re-Proc. AIF Re-Proc. ROI Process All

- Exclude FPV
- Include FPV

XROI

XR01\_reload





2.90820

2355.5266

### Arterial Input Function Across Different Slices



### Inflow Suppressed Population Averaged Arterial Input Function



## Automated Region of Interest Measurement



ROI-1 drawn on Slice 5



ROI-2 drawn on Slice 3



Avg-AIF obtained using our semi-automated algorithm is operator independent



Molecular Imaging Program



Permeability Maps using Quantitative arterial input function with population averaging and automated region of interest detection

**Raw DCE image: early enhancement** 







Gd-concentration curve of the lesion with the AIF overlay

Ktrans map

#### kep map

## MR Spectroscopy at 3T



## Design of Multi-parametric Analysis System







## **MR-Histology Correlation Solution**



(a) T2W Image

(b) Cluster Map

(c) Region Map

- Clustering is performed on MP-MRI
- Region map based on 3D-connectivity of voxels
- Correlate region map to histology to identify cancer and non-cancer regions





### Quantitative values of Multiparametric-MRI for Cancer and Non-cancer Region



Patient Population consisted of 31 patients:

 7 patients excluded due to motion artifacts, dce analysis problems, and/or specimen processing error From 24 patients –

- 225 cancer region identified
- Observed GS 6 to GS 9 tumors
- 264 non-cancerous region identified



## **Optimized Results**

	Pathology Cancer	Pathology Not Cancer	Precision
Predicted Cancer	203	27	88%
Predicted	22	237	92%
Not Cancer			
Class Recall	90%	90%	
	[Sensitivity]	[Specificity]	

F-measure = 89% (also known as Positive agreement) Kappa Coefficient = 80% (Rater's agreement)

After Optimization : 5% increment in sensitivity and F-measure, while 20% increase in the Kappa coefficient

Molecular Imaging Program



## **Comparison with Single Modality**





## **Cancer Probability Map**



Designated as "trade secret". Molecular Imaging Program



## Molecular Imaging of Prostate Cancer: PET and SPECT





### Metabolic Hallmarks



Fatty Acid Synthesis

Warburg

Amino Acid Metabolism

# <sup>11</sup>C-Acetate PET/CT Imaging of Prostate Cancer

- Acetate: a fatty acid precursor
- Uptake may correlate with fatty acid synthetase
- A phase 2 trial of 40 patients
- 11C-Acetate PET-CT synthesized in the PET Department NIH













58, M, PSA=8.2 Gleason 3+4 tumor



# <sup>18</sup>F-FACBC PET-CT Imaging of Prostate Cancer

- F-ACBC: A synthetic L-leucine analogue
- Increased amino acid transport within tumors
- A phase 2 trial of 30 patients
- 18F-FACBC PET-CT vs. MP-MRI vs. histopathology
- Recently open to accrual (7/30 patients)
- In collaboration with GE Healthcare: produced in Greenbelt, MD at Cardinal Health





# <sup>18</sup>F-FACBC PET-CT Imaging of Prostate Cancer







57, M, PSA=5.41Gleason 3+3 tumor5-7min post-injection PET-CT



Molecular Imaging Program

# <sup>123</sup>I-MIP-1072 (Trofex) SPECT Imaging of Prostate Cancer

- Small molecule targeting the extracellular domain of prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) for SPECT imaging
- A phase 2 trial of 20 patients in collaboration with Molecular Insight Pharmaceuticals
- 3-6 hours post injection
  - Optional 1 day post injection
  - Optional compact endorectal gamma camera imaging



















Courtesy of Dr. J. Babich, Molecular Insight Pharmaceuticals





# <sup>18</sup>F-Sodium Fluoride PET-CT

- <sup>18</sup>F-Sodium Fluoride: A calcium analogue
- Incorporated into hydroxyapatite
- A phase 2 trial of 60 patients
  First 30 patients have reproducibility studies
- Recently opened to accrual (5/60 patients)
- Produced by Cardinal Health





#### 82-y-old patient with numerous bone metastases,



#### <sup>18</sup>F-NaF PET/CT scan

#### 64 yo, male with prostate cancer with elevated PSA level











## **Automated Bone Lesion Detection**



# Summary

- Improving anatomical and functional prostate MRI
  - Improving H/P correlation
  - Quantitative MRI
  - Decision Support Systems
- Exploring PET and SPECT tracers for more accurate localization of prostate cancer
  - Metabolomic Imaging: Fatty Acid and Amino Acid
  - Molecular Imaging: Prostate Specific Membrane Antigen, Sodium Fluoride
- Unique features:

**Molecular Imaging Program** 

Resource intense, multidisciplinary, integrated



## **Future Directions**

- Continue to improve quantitation of MRI parameters
- Increase sophistication of Decision Support System to incorporate tumor aggressiveness
- Develop and compare additional molecular imaging probes (EpCAM, ferumoxytal)
- Translate diagnostic information into image guided biopsy and therapy (Dr. Pinto)





# Acknowledgements

#### MIP

- Baris Turkbey
- Esther Mena
- Marcelino Bernardo
- Karen Kurdziel
- Vijay Shah
- Steve Adler
- Yolanda McKinney
- Revia Wade
- Dagane Daar
- Gideon Kwarteng
- Philip Eclarinal
- Liza Lindenberg
- Mirna Martinez

#### NIH

- Peter A. Pinto/UOB
- Marston Linehan, UOB
- Maria Merino/Lab of Pathology
- William Dahut/MOB
- Bradford Wood/DRD, NCI
- Paula Jacobs/NCI
- Aradhana Kaushal/ROB
- James Gulley/MOB
- Joanna Shih/NCI
- Thomas Pohida/NIH

#### Outside collaborators

- Philips Medical Systems
- GE Healthcare
- Molecular Insight Pharmaceuticals



Molecular Imaging Program